A Deeper Dive into Positive Play

NEW HORIZONS IN RESPONSIBLE GAMBLING, 2019



SustainableGAMING

Our presenters



President at GamRes Limited

Dr. Richard Wood



Dir. of CR at Manitoba Liquor & Lotteries

Bev Mehmel



Ryan Persaud





Measuring responsible gambling in Canada

Benchmarking with the

Positive Play Scale



Dr Richard Wood, gamres Limited

Drs Michael J. A. Wohl and Nassim , Tabri,

Department of Psychology, Carleton

University





Three key questions about RG strategy



"HOW DO WE KNOW IF A RESPONSIBLE GAMBLING STRATEGY **IS WORKING?**"

"WHICH PARTS OF A RESPONSIBLE GAMBLING STRATEGY WORK THE BEST?"

positiveplay





"WHAT WORKS BEST FOR DIFFERENT PLAYERS?"



Measure and optimize success of your RG strategy (what works, what doesn't work?) Benchmark RG success or failure (i.e., is the level of player RG improving over time?)

the Positive Play Scale (PPS)

(Wood, Wohl, Tabri, Philander, 2017)

Segment RG strategy (e.g., by age, games played...) by what works best with different players?

Better ur whole

Better understand your

whole player base

5

The Properties of the PPS

There are two belief subscales:

Personal Responsibility

the extent to which a player believes they should take ownership of their gambling behavior

the extent to which a player has an accurate understanding about the nature of gambling





6

Personal Responsibility

I should be aware of how much MONEY I spend when I gamble

I should be able to walk away from gambling at any time

Beliefs: I believe that.....

I should only gamble when I have enough money to cover all my bills first

It's my responsibility to spend only money that I can afford to lose If I gamble more often, it will help me to win more than I lose (reverse coded)

positiveplay

Gambling Literacy

Gambling is not a good way to make money

> My chances of winning get better after I have lost (reverse coded)



about their gambling behavior and feels in control of their behavior

considers how much money and time they should spend gambling

positiveplay

8

Honesty and Control

I felt in control of my gambling behavior

I was honest with my family and/or friends about the amount of MONEY I spent gambling

> I was honest with my family and/or friends about the amount of TIME I spent gambling

Behaviors: In the last month.....

I only gambled with MONEY that I could afford to lose

positiveplay

Pre-commitment

I only spent TIME gambling that I could afford to lose

I considered the amount of MONEY I was willing to lose BEFORE I gambled

> I considered the amount of TIME I was willing to spend BEFORE I gambled

The PPS is not a measure of disordered gambling







Players can be placed into positive play categories



These scores constitute benchmark data that can be compared again at a later date, to help identify changes in players' RG related beliefs and behaviors.

HIGH PPS:

Clearly a positive player

• 11

Measuring responsible gambling in Canad









Measuring responsible gambling in Canada

- Study commissioned by the Canadian Responsible Gambling \checkmark Association (CRGA).
- ✓ In 2017, a representative sample of 7,980 players were contacted.
- Online survey including PPS, other scales and items about \checkmark demographics and game play.
- ✓ 80% played in last month, all played in last year.



PPS scores: all players





Pre-commitment



3.2% 74.9% 75.5% 6.39 76.8% 30.3% 33.4% 35.0% 33.1% 36.8% 39.0% 92.5% 93.9% 25-34 55-64 25-34 18-24 35-44 45-54 35-44 45-54 55-64 18-24 25-34 35-44 45-54 55-64 65+ 18-24 65+ Personal responsibility Gambling literacy Honest & control Iow PPS medium PPS high PPS

PPS scores: by age



Personal responsibility scores: Land-based games





Gambling literacy scores: Land-based games



50.6%	47.8%
27.0%	28.6%
22.3%	23.6%
Sports betting	Casino style table games



Honesty and control scores: Land-based games







Pre-commitment scores: Land-based games



positiveplay

66.6%	64.9%
21.6%	22.7%
11.8%	12.4%
Video lottery	Casino style table games

Conclusions



Players scored lowest in terms for future RG strategic planning.

effective than a one-size-fits-all approach. Using the PPS over

Publication available on request:

Wood, R. T.A., Wohl, M. J., Tabri, N., & Philander, K. (2017). Measuring responsible gambling amongst players: Development of the Positive Play Scale. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *8*, 227.

Richard@gamres.org www.gamres.org





Positive Play Research Outcomes

(MBLL 2018)

Relationships With Gambling Literacy Sub			
RELATIONSHIP	SIGNIFICANCE	DESCRIPTION	
Marital Status	Statistically Significant	Widowed or divorceo literacy.	
Gender	Statistically Significant	Males tend to have a literacy.	
Household Income	Notable (not significant)	Lower household inc gambling literacy.	
Education	Notable (not significant)	Lower education = lo literacy.	
Customer Satisfaction	Statistically Significant	Lower customer satis gambling literacy.	



scale

- d = lower gambling
- lower gambling
- come = lower
- ower gambling
- sfaction = lower



Positive Play outcomes guide campaigns

- Manitoba PPS research outcomes are helping us build more effective campaigns.
- The segments with lower Gambling Literacy become our targets:
 - Widowed / Divorced / Single
 - Male (55+)
 - Lower household income
 - Lower education





Shifting Positive Play Behavior

- Gambling Literacy: Opportunity to influence customers:
 - $\odot\,\text{A}$ clear repeatable message ... and understandable
 - A short term advertising calendar capable of delivering multiple campaigns.
 - An advertising platform that is capable of attracting the attention of gamblers.
- MBLL's 'Randomness' campaign almost complete
- Research will follow to assess gains with target groups





Demonstrating ROI for RG

- Correlation between low gambling literacy and low casino customer satisfaction (MBLL 2018)
- Improving gambling literacy has double the benefit (sustainable customers and satisfied customers)
- One way to demonstrate the ROI for RG on the business.





Wins Are Always Random Elevator Wrap Example

Messaging Examples









BCLC & Positive Play Scale

Ryan Persaud, Director of Enterprise Business Intelligence



BCLC's Journey with PPS

2.

Ongoing

Learning

1. Development

- Received proposal Nov 2015
- Supported development by providing BCLC player sample
- Phase I: Item selection (40 potential items to be included in PPS)
- Phase II: Scale construction and validation
- Phase III: Final Index and Reporting

Collecting results since Nov 2016 on PH Tracker

- Methodology comparisons: online vs. telephone
- Compared to Problem Gambling Severity Index (PGSI)
- Cross-tabulated by BCLC's Player Health Segmentation





- Focusing on defining measures for BCLC
- Internal socialization and education
- Setting targets



High PPS x PGSI



High PPS %

5 Player Health Segments





High PPS x Player Segments



Player Segments







3. Making It Real



Gambling Literacy: By Business Unit



FY20 Targets Set: 52%



Pre-commitment: By Business Unit



FY20 Targets Set: 56%



Planned Initiatives

Gambling Literacy

- Develop ongoing content for GameSense program that included targeted messaging for special populations
- Effectively communicate returns on slot machines

Pre-Commitment

- Rollout PlayPlanner across the province
- Develop and execute player-focused educational activities, including player self-assessments
- Assess PlayNow play management tools



Thank you

Ryan Persaud, Director of Business Intelligence, BCLC

E: rpersaud@bclc.com

M: 604-313-4383



Questions/Discussions



SustainableGAMING